

JS-5

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
10

11 AMY ROTH, SHANA EKIN, as
12 individuals and on behalf of themselves
13 and all others similarly situated,

14 Plaintiffs,

15 v.

16 CHA HOLLYWOOD MEDICAL
17 CENTER, L.P., d/b/a CHA Hollywood
18 Presbyterian Medical Center and
19 Hollywood Presbyterian Medical Center,
and CHS HEALTHCARE
MANAGEMENT, L.L.C.,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:12-cv-07559-ODW (SHx)

**ORDER REOPENING CASE AND
ORDERING DEFENDANTS TO
ANSWER OR OTHERWISE
RESPOND**

20 In light of the Ninth Circuit's July 22 Mandate, the Court directs the Clerk of
21 Court to reopen this case. Defendants shall answer or otherwise respond to Plaintiffs'
22 First Amended Complaint no later than August 15, 2013. Should Defendants opt to
23 re-file their motion to dismiss the FAC, Defendants are advised that the Court will not
24 to strike class allegations at this early stage of the proceedings. *E.g., Kisliuk v. ADT*
25 *Sec. Servs., Inc.*, 263 F.R.D. 544, 546–47 (C.D. Cal. 2008). Any attacks directed to

26 ///


27 ///

28 ///

1 class certification shall be raised in opposition to Plaintiffs' class-certification motion,
2 which shall be heard no later than **October 28, 2013**. Plaintiffs' failure to file a class-
3 certification motion on or before September 30 will constitute waiver of class
4 certification.

5 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

6 July 25, 2012

7
8 

9 **HON. OTIS D. WRIGHT, II**
10 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**

11
12
13
14
15 cc: order,docket, transmittal letter to
16 Los Angeles Superior Court, BC 460280
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28